## FY-2001 DOE SNF PRECLOSURE SAFETY ANALYSIS

#### **NSNFP STRATEGY MEETING**

Richard Morissette YMP Integrated Safety Analysis June 27, 2001

### **FY-2001 ACTIVITIES**

- Beyond Design Basis Events (BDBE) Consequence Evaluations
- Waste Acceptance Requirements Document (WASRD) Criteria Development
- Event Frequency Parametric Analyses
- Isotopic & Fuel Characteristics Studies
- Canister Design Basis Review
- Planning, Meetings, and Reporting



### **BDBE CONSEQUENCE EVALUATION**

### Objective

 Use more realistic assumptions and best-estimate inputs to perform beyond design basis event (BDBE) dose calculations for breached DOE SNF canisters

### Status

Calculation (CAL-WPS-SE-000006 REV 00) approved/released

### Spent Fuel Evaluated

- Non-Metal, Non-Intact Group
  - Shippingport Light Water Breeder Reactor (LWBR) Scrap
- Other, Non-Intact Group
  - N Reactor Spent Nuclear Fuel



## **BDBE CONSEQUENCE EVALUATION**

### BDBE Assumptions

- Drop of canister from overhead crane results in small (<10mm²)</li>
  breach in the canister
- Canister leak path factor (LPF) = 0.1 for particulates
- LWBR scrap has no residual respirable particulates but some generated during BDBE from the fuel and crud
- MCO has 6 kg UO<sub>2</sub> particulate and 1.3 kg water after coldvacuum drying
- MCO has 12 kg of UH<sub>3</sub> and 16 kg of UO<sub>2</sub> at time of shipment
- MCO has no ignition of bulk U-metal after BDBE. Release fraction assumes slow oxidation of U-metal
- HEPA particulate filtration of 3.0E-04 except for cesium



## **BDBE CONSEQUENCE EVALUATION**

#### Results

- Shippingport LWBR Scrap
  - w/o HEPA: TEDE=0.07 rem, CDE+DDE=0.6 rem
  - w/HEPA: TEDE=3E-4 rem, CDE+DDE=5E-4rem
- N Reactor SNF (<10mm² breach)</li>
  - w/o HEPA: TEDE=0.01 rem, CDE+DDE=0.1 rem
  - w/HEPA: TEDE=6E-3 rem, CDE+DDE=7E-3rem
- N Reactor SNF (>10mm² breach)
  - w/o HEPA: TEDE=0.06 rem, CDE+DDE=0.9 rem
  - w/HEPA: TEDE=6E-3 rem, CDE+DDE=7E-3rem



### WASRD CRITERIA DEVELOPMENT

### Objective

- Provide a basis for limiting canister radionuclide releases in the event of an accidental breach
- Provide criteria that are not radionuclide specific
- Only waste form parameters needed to show compliance

### Status

- Criteria basis calculation complete & ready for approval
- Sample calculation included in criteria basis calculation
- Canister Release Dose-Equivalent Source Term criteria (Rems/Canister) included in WASRD Rev 4H



### WASRD CRITERIA DEVELOPMENT

### Calculation Assumptions

- Canister release limits based on back-calculation from regulatory site boundary limits for Cat 2 DBEs (no safety factor)
- DSNF 18"/24" standard, MCO, HLW, HLW/PU, & Navy canisters and combinations thereof considered
- DBEs include handling of transportation casks, bare canisters, and unsealed disposal containers
- Maximum number of canisters involved in DBE can fail
- No credit for deposition, HEPA filtration, or canister leak path factor (LPF)
- Credit for transportation cask LPF=0.1



## **WASRD CRITERIA DEVELOPMENT**

#### Canister Release Dose-Equivalent Source Terms

Canister Type	Canister Release Dose-Equivalent Source Term (rem/canister)				
, ,,,,,	Effective	Max Organ			
	(TEDE <sub>canister</sub> )	[(CDE + DDE) <sub>canister</sub> ]			
DSNF 18" dia. canister	1.15E+08	1.15E+09			
DSNF 24" dia. canister	1.38E+08	1.38E+09			
MCO	1.73E+08	1.73E+09			
HLW	1.15E+08	1.15E+09			
Pu Can-in-Canister	1.38E+08	1.38E+09			
Naval Spent Fuel Canister, MPC	6.92E+08	6.92E+09			

# EVENT FREQUENCY PARAMETRIC ANALYSIS

### Objective

 The purpose of this calculation is to evaluate an assumed range of performance allocation failure probabilities and the effect of these failure probabilities on the frequency of a radionuclide release.

### Approach

- Bounding event (crane drop) is addressed in the calculation
- Parametric analysis on design basis failure probabilities for CTS components and DSNF, HLW, and HLW/PU canisters

### Status

 Calculation checked, reviewed by NSNFP and Naval Reactors (NR), and in comment resolution



# EVENT FREQUENCY PARAMETRIC ANALYSIS

### Assumptions

- Components fail to meet their design basis at different failure probabilities ranging from 10<sup>-6</sup> to 10<sup>-4</sup>
  - CTS Crane Yoke
  - CTS Transfer Gate
  - SNF or HLW Canisters
- Outcomes are taken from dose analyses assuming no HEPA
  - All DSNF and HLW/Pu canisters have doses resulting from a breach that exceed limits
  - Naval SNF canisters and HLW canisters have dose resulting from a breach that is within limits

# **EVENT FREQUENCY PARAMETRIC ANALYSIS**

Canister type	Crane Failure		Number of	Drop	Item	Failure	Release Freq.	Outcome
HLW	1.40E-05	840	2	2.35E-02	Yoke	1.00E-05	2.35E-07	ML
HLW	1.40E-05	840	2	2.35E-02	Gate	1.00E-05	2.35E-07	ML
HLW	1.40E-05	840	2	2.35E-02	Canister FBDB	1.00E-05	2.35E-07	ML
HLW	1.40E-05	840	2	2.35E-02	Canister AODB	1.00E-05	2.35E-07	ML
Total							9.41E-07	ML
Pu/HLW & DSNF	1.40E-05	210	2	5.88E-03	Yoke	1.00E-05	5.88E-08	EDL
Pu/HLW & DSNF	1.40E-05	210	2	5.88E-03	Gate	1.00E-05	5.88E-08	EDL
Pu/HLW & DSNF	1.40E-05	210	2	5.88E-03	Canister FBDB	1.00E-05	5.88E-08	EDL
Pu/HLW & DSNF	1.40E-05	210	2	5.88E-03	Canister AODB	1.00E-05	5.88E-08	EDL
Total							2.35E-07	EDL
NAVY	1.40E-05	15	1	2.10E-04	Yoke	1.00E-05	2.10E-09	ML
NAVY	1.40E-05	15	1	2.10E-04	Gate	1.00E-05	2.10E-09	ML
NAVY	1.40E-05	15	1	2.10E-04	Canister FBDB	1.00E+00	2.10E-04	ML
NAVY	1.40E-05	15	1	2.10E-04	Canister AODB	1.00E+00	2.10E-04	ML
Total							4.20E-04	ML
TOTAL EDL							2.35E-07	EDL
TOTAL ML							4.21E-04	ML
TOTAL AR							4.21E-04	AR
FBDB = (flat botto	m design basis)	= The canis	ter design basi	s for a flat bo	ttom drop			
AODB (any orient	ation design bas	sis) = The ca	anister design b	asis for a dro	op in any orienta	tion		
ML (meets limits) :	= The sum of all	senarios tha	at meet DBE Ca	ategory 2 rele	ease limits,			
EDL (exceeds dos	se limits) = the s	um of all sce	enarios that med	et DBE Cated	ory 2 release lim	nits		
AR (any release)	_ MI , EDI							



# ISOTOPIC & FUEL CHARACTERISTICS STUDIES

### DOE SNF Source Term Development - NSNFP

- YMP representation at NSNFP/EM site weekly telecon
- Review of NSNFP template methodology uncertainties
  - Actinide concentrations do not vary linearly with burnup
  - Large uncertainties could occur if a burnup multiplier used in the source term estimate is much larger or much smaller than 1.
- Documented basis for selection of important radionuclides
- GOTH-SNF Analyses on metallic fuels
  - Identify cases and review results
- Status: Level of effort activity



### **CANISTER DESIGN BASIS REVIEW**

### Objective

- Review DOE SNF canister design and testing
- Develop a defensible basis for canister no-breach credit based on a suitable material strain criterion and get Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) buy-in.

## Strain Criterion Development Approach

- Joint effort between NSNFP and YMP using structural analysis and ASME code expertise
- Define failure based on material strain
- Establish design margin for defects and degradation
- Identify precedence for strain criteria
- Status: Level of effort activity



## INPUTS REQUIRED FROM NSNFP FOR LA

### Inputs to Qualified LA Products

- MCO Drop Capability for MGR CTS Design
- DOE SNF Canister Design Basis for Events Categorization
- DOE SNF Source Term for License Application Chapter 7
- Naval Reactor SNF Source Term for DBE Analyses

### Inputs to Non-Q Products

- DOE SNF Source Term for BDBE Analyses
- GOTH SNF Results for BDBE Analyses
- DOE SNF Canister Beyond-Design-Basis Failure Modes

